

Chem 542 Problem Set 7

1. Can you show that:

$$i\hbar \int \psi^*(x') \cdot \psi(x') \cdot \partial x' = i\hbar$$

using Dirac notation? You can assume that $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$. Hint: You can reverse engineer this problem quite easily.

Answer: You should recognize you are observing a resolution of the identity:

$$\int \psi^*(x') \cdot \psi(x') \cdot \partial x' = \int \langle \psi | x' \rangle \langle x' | \psi \rangle \cdot \partial x'$$

Now you can remove $\int |x'\rangle \langle x'| \cdot \partial x' = 1$ leaving $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$. Hence, the constants remain.

2. Can you show that $\langle \psi | [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] | \psi \rangle = i\hbar$? Please use Dirac notation to solve this problem, which requires double resolutions of the identity (in x' and x''). I suggest you use the resolutions to “bracket” the \hat{p} operator with x' and x'' so that you can use the following:

$$\langle x' | p | x'' \rangle = \left\{ -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \right\} \delta(x' - x'')$$

Hint: We assume the state ψ is normalized, i.e.: $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$.

Answer: $\langle \psi | [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{x} \cdot \hat{p} | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | \hat{p} \cdot \hat{x} | \psi \rangle$. Next we doubly resolve identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] | \psi \rangle &= \int \int \langle \psi | \hat{x} | x' \rangle \langle x' | \hat{p} | x'' \rangle \langle x'' | \psi \rangle \cdot \partial x' \partial x'' \\ &\quad - \int \int \langle \psi | x' \rangle \langle x' | \hat{p} | x'' \rangle \langle x'' | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle \cdot \partial x' \partial x'' \\ &= \int \int x' \langle \psi | x' \rangle \langle x' | \hat{p} | x'' \rangle \langle x'' | \psi \rangle \cdot \partial x' \partial x'' - \int \int \langle \psi | x' \rangle \langle x' | \hat{p} | x'' \rangle x'' \langle x'' | \psi \rangle \cdot \partial x' \partial x'' \end{aligned}$$

Let's do the first one first:

$$\int \int x' \cdot \psi^*(x') \cdot \left\{ -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \right\} \delta(x' - x'') \cdot \psi(x'') \cdot \partial x' \partial x'' = -i\hbar \int x' \cdot \psi^*(x') \cdot \frac{\partial \psi(x')}{\partial x'} \cdot \partial x'$$

Likewise the other one is:

$$\int \int \langle \psi | x' \rangle \langle x' | \hat{p} | x'' \rangle x'' \langle x'' | \psi \rangle \cdot \partial x' \partial x'' =$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int \psi^*(x') \cdot \left\{ -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \right\} \delta(x' - x'') \cdot x'' \cdot \psi(x'') \cdot \partial x' \partial x'' \\ = -i\hbar \int \psi^*(x') \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \cdot \{x' \cdot \psi(x')\} \cdot \partial x' \end{aligned}$$

You have to use the product rule on the above:

$$\begin{aligned} -i\hbar \int \psi^*(x') \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \cdot \{x' \cdot \psi(x')\} \cdot \partial x' \\ = -i\hbar \int \psi^*(x') \cdot \psi(x') \cdot \partial x' - i\hbar \int x' \cdot \psi^*(x') \cdot \frac{\partial \psi(x')}{\partial x'} \cdot \partial x' \end{aligned}$$

Now when you put it all together:

$$\begin{aligned} -i\hbar \int x' \cdot \psi^*(x') \cdot \frac{\partial \psi(x')}{\partial x'} \cdot \partial x' \\ - \left\{ -i\hbar \int \psi^*(x') \cdot \psi(x') \cdot \partial x' - i\hbar \int x' \cdot \psi^*(x') \cdot \frac{\partial \psi(x')}{\partial x'} \cdot \partial x' \right\} \\ = i\hbar \int \psi^*(x') \cdot \psi(x') \cdot \partial x' = i\hbar \end{aligned}$$

due to the fact that: $\int \psi^*(x') \cdot \psi(x') \cdot \partial x' = 1$.

3. Suppose \hat{A} and \hat{B} are two Hermitian operators. **a)** If:

$$\Delta \hat{A} |\psi\rangle = \lambda \cdot \Delta \hat{B} |\psi\rangle$$

where λ is purely imaginary, can you show that the uncertainty principle yields the lowest possible result:

$$\langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{A})^2 | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{B})^2 | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{4} |\langle \psi | [\hat{B}, \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle|^2$$

Hint: First show that $\langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{A})^2 | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{B})^2 | \psi \rangle = |\langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle|^2$. Next:

$$\langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | [\Delta \hat{B}, \Delta \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | \{\Delta \hat{B}, \Delta \hat{A}\} | \psi \rangle$$

where $\frac{\lambda^*}{\lambda} = -1$ is used to show that the second term (the anticommutator) is 0. Also recall from class that $\langle \psi | [\Delta \hat{B}, \Delta \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | [\hat{B}, \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle$, and based on the information given in the problem:

$$\Delta \hat{B} |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda} \Delta \hat{A} |\psi\rangle \text{ and } \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{A} = \lambda^* \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B}$$

b) Can you also show that: $\langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{B})^2 | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \langle \psi | [\hat{B}, \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle$? FYI, this is how we knew that:

$$\lambda = \frac{\langle \psi | [\hat{B}, \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle}{2 \langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{B})^2 | \psi \rangle}$$

c) Using: $\Delta \hat{p} | \psi \rangle = \lambda \cdot \Delta \hat{x} | \psi \rangle$, show that the minimum uncertainty wavepacket satisfying: $\langle \psi | [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] | \psi \rangle = i\hbar$ is given by:

$$\langle x | \psi \rangle \cong \exp \left[\frac{-(x - \langle x \rangle)^2}{4 \langle (\Delta \hat{x})^2 \rangle} + \frac{i \cdot x \cdot \langle p \rangle}{\hbar} \right]$$

Hint: As above $\lambda = \frac{\langle \psi | [\hat{B}, \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle}{2 \langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{B})^2 | \psi \rangle}$ and you need to use this identity:

$$\frac{-x^2}{4 \langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{x})^2 | \psi \rangle} + \frac{x \cdot \langle \hat{x} \rangle}{2 \langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{x})^2 | \psi \rangle} = \frac{-(x - \langle x \rangle)^2}{4 \langle (\Delta \hat{x})^2 \rangle}$$

Aside: The wavefunction above is not fully correct because it isn't normalized. You don't need to show this, but the normalized wavefunction is:

$$\langle x | \psi \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi \langle (\Delta \hat{x})^2 \rangle} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp \left[\frac{-(x - \langle x \rangle)^2}{4 \langle (\Delta \hat{x})^2 \rangle} + \frac{i \cdot x \cdot \langle p \rangle}{\hbar} \right]$$

Answers: a) First, we use the fact that $\Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle = \lambda \cdot \Delta \hat{B} | \psi \rangle$ below:

$$\langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{A})^2 | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{A} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{A} \cdot \lambda \cdot \Delta \hat{B} | \psi \rangle$$

Likewise, since $\Delta \hat{B} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle$: $\langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{B})^2 | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{B} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle$. Thus:

$$\langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{A})^2 | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{B})^2 | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{A} \cdot \lambda \cdot \Delta \hat{B} | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle =$$

$$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{A} \Delta \hat{B} | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle^* \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle = |\langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle|^2$$

where the fact that $\langle \psi | \Delta \hat{A} \Delta \hat{B} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle^*$ is due to the fact that the operators are Hermitian. Next, we have already shown in class that:

$$\langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | [\Delta \hat{B}, \Delta \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | \{ \Delta \hat{B}, \Delta \hat{A} \} | \psi \rangle$$

where the anticommutator is: $\langle \psi | \{ \Delta \hat{B}, \Delta \hat{A} \} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{A} \Delta \hat{B} | \psi \rangle$, and shown below is why this anticommutator is 0. First, we insert the identities discussed in the problem: $\Delta \hat{B} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle$ and $\langle \psi | \Delta \hat{A} = \lambda^* \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B}$, into the above:

$$\langle \psi | \{ \Delta \hat{B}, \Delta \hat{A} \} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{A} \Delta \hat{B} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle + \lambda^* \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle$$

Since $\frac{\lambda^*}{\lambda} = -1$ when λ is imaginary, we are left with:

$$\langle \psi | \{ \Delta \hat{B}, \Delta \hat{A} \} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle - \lambda^* \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle = 0$$

Thus, $\langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | [\Delta \hat{B}, \Delta \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle$, which we showed in class is $\langle \psi | [\Delta \hat{B}, \Delta \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | [\hat{B}, \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle$ and as a result:

$$\langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{A})^2 | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{B})^2 | \psi \rangle = | \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle |^2 = \left| \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | [\Delta \hat{B}, \Delta \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle \right|^2 = \frac{1}{4} | \langle \psi | [\hat{B}, \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle |^2$$

b) We demonstrated in pt. a that:

$$\langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | [\Delta \hat{B}, \Delta \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle$$

Since $\langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{A} | \psi \rangle = \lambda \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{B} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | [\Delta \hat{B}, \Delta \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle$, we are left with:

$$\langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B} \Delta \hat{B} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | (\Delta \hat{B})^2 | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \langle \psi | [\Delta \hat{B}, \Delta \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle$$

c) First start with $\Delta \hat{p} | \psi \rangle = \lambda \cdot \Delta \hat{x} | \psi \rangle$ and apply a $\langle x |$ bra to the left:

$$\langle x | \Delta \hat{p} | \psi \rangle = \langle x | \lambda \cdot \Delta \hat{x} | \psi \rangle = \left(-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \langle \hat{p} \rangle \right) \langle x | \psi \rangle = \lambda (\hat{x} - \langle \hat{x} \rangle) \langle x | \psi \rangle$$

As a result: $-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi(x) - \langle \hat{p} \rangle \psi(x) = \lambda \cdot x \cdot \psi(x) - \langle \hat{x} \rangle \psi(x)$. We can factor this as:

$$\frac{\partial \psi(x)}{\partial x} = \psi'(x) = \left(\frac{i\lambda}{\hbar} \cdot x + \langle \hat{p} \rangle - \langle \hat{x} \rangle \right) \psi(x)$$

We can divide out by to get the log derivative on the left:

$$\int \frac{\psi'(x)}{\psi(x)} = \ln(\psi(x)) = \int \frac{i}{\hbar} (\lambda \cdot x + \langle \hat{p} \rangle - \lambda \cdot \langle \hat{x} \rangle) dx$$

Thus, $\psi(x) = \exp \int \frac{i}{\hbar} (\lambda \cdot x + \langle \hat{p} \rangle - \lambda \cdot \langle \hat{x} \rangle) dx = \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \cdot x^2 + x \cdot \langle \hat{p} \rangle - \lambda \cdot x \cdot \langle \hat{x} \rangle \right) \right)$. Here we can use the identity

$$\lambda = \frac{\langle \psi | [\hat{B}, \hat{A}] | \psi \rangle}{2 \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{B}^2 | \psi \rangle} = \frac{\langle \psi | [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] | \psi \rangle}{2 \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{x}^2 | \psi \rangle} = \frac{i\hbar}{2 \langle \psi | \Delta \hat{x}^2 | \psi \rangle} \text{ and as a result:}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &= \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(\frac{i\hbar \cdot x^2}{4\langle\psi|\Delta\hat{x}^2|\psi\rangle} + x \cdot \langle\hat{p}\rangle - \frac{i\hbar \cdot x \cdot \langle\hat{x}\rangle}{2\langle\psi|\Delta\hat{x}^2|\psi\rangle}\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{4\langle\psi|\Delta\hat{x}^2|\psi\rangle} + \frac{i}{\hbar} \cdot x \cdot \langle\hat{p}\rangle + \frac{x \cdot \langle\hat{x}\rangle}{2\langle\psi|\Delta\hat{x}^2|\psi\rangle}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Now we can use the identity $\frac{-x^2}{4\langle\psi|\Delta\hat{x}^2|\psi\rangle} + \frac{x \cdot \langle\hat{x}\rangle}{2\langle\psi|\Delta\hat{x}^2|\psi\rangle} = \frac{-(x - \langle x \rangle)^2}{4\langle\Delta\hat{x}^2\rangle}$:

$$\psi(x) = \exp\left(\frac{-(x - \langle x \rangle)^2}{4\langle\Delta\hat{x}^2\rangle} + \frac{i}{\hbar} \cdot x \cdot \langle\hat{p}\rangle\right)$$

If you normalize it you would find that the normalization constant is $\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\langle\Delta\hat{x}^2\rangle}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$.

4. Consider a particle of mass m in a linear potential of the form $V(x) = E - F(x - a)$, where E is energy while F and a are constants. By solving the Schrodinger Equation in the momentum representation:

$$\left\{\frac{p^2}{2m} + E - F(x - a)\right\}\psi(p) = E\psi(p)$$

show that the wavefunction $\psi(x)$ is given by:

$$\psi(x) = C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[\frac{1}{i\hbar}\left(\frac{p^3}{6mF} + p(a - x)\right)\right] \partial p$$

Hint: I was Googling how to solve the above, and I ran into [this website](#) which states:

For the 1st order homogeneous differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} + p(t)y = 0$$

The solution is: $\int \frac{\partial y}{y} = \int -p(t)\partial t$.

Now all you have to do is “translate” the p 's, y 's and t 's to conform to your problem.

Answer: The idea is to use the fact that $x = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$ and then use the general form for a 1st order differential equation:

$$\frac{p^2}{2m}\psi(p) + E\psi(p) - i\hbar F \frac{\partial}{\partial p}\psi(p) - Fa\psi(p) = E\psi(p)$$

Note how the cancel leaving:

$$i\hbar F \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p} - \left(\frac{p^2}{2m} + Fa \right) \psi(p) = 0$$

Therefore:

$$\frac{\partial \psi(p)}{\psi(p)} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left(\frac{p^2}{2mF} + a \right) \partial p$$

Now integrate both sides: $\int \frac{\partial \psi(p)}{\psi(p)} = \ln(\psi(p))$ while the other side is: $\int \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left(\frac{p^2}{2mF} + a \right) \partial p = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left(\frac{p^3}{6mF} + pa \right)$ and thus $\psi(p) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left(\frac{p^3}{6mF} + pa \right) \right\}$. Next, you transform the momentum representation into space via:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{ipx}{\hbar}\right) \psi(p) \partial p = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(i \frac{px}{\hbar}\right) \exp\left\{\frac{1}{i\hbar} \left(\frac{p^3}{6mF} + pa\right)\right\} \partial p = \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{i\hbar} \left(\frac{p^3}{6mF} + pa\right) - \frac{px}{\hbar}\right\} \partial p = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{i\hbar} \left(\frac{p^3}{6mF} + p(a-x)\right)\right\} \partial p \end{aligned}$$

5. Extra Maths Learnings! Let \hat{A} and \hat{B} be any operators and λ is a constant. Please show that:

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda \hat{B}} \hat{A} e^{\lambda \hat{B}} = \hat{A} + \lambda [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + \frac{1}{2!} \lambda^2 [[\hat{A}, \hat{B}], \hat{B}] + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_n$$

where $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_n$ is the n-fold commutator of \hat{A} and \hat{B} , i.e. $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_n = [[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_{n-1}, \hat{B}]$

Hint: It is tempting to expand the exponentials into their Taylor series, FOIL them out, and show that the expression is consistent with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_n$. However, we will go a different way, which is to show consistency with the uniqueness theorem from last problem set.

a) First, take the derivative of $f(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda \hat{B}} \hat{A} e^{\lambda \hat{B}}$ and show that the result is consistent with:

$$f'(\lambda) = [f, \hat{B}]$$

2nd Hint: You can rearrange an operator: $\hat{B}e^{\lambda\hat{B}}$ as: $e^{\lambda\hat{B}}\hat{B}$ since an operator and function of that same operator commute.

b) Next, show that the derivative of $\hat{f}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_n$ is consistent with the result from pt. a. I hope you remember that $\frac{n}{n!} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}$, and here is a useful identity:

$$[\hat{f}, \hat{B}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_{n+1}$$

Aside: The uniqueness theorem states that there can only be one solution to a differential equation under certain conditions. Here, you are showing that the differential equations $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} e^{-\lambda\hat{B}} \hat{A} e^{\lambda\hat{B}}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_n$ are both $f'(\lambda) = [\hat{f}, \hat{B}]$, and thus it must be true that the solutions to $f'(\lambda)$: $e^{-\lambda\hat{B}} \hat{A} e^{\lambda\hat{B}}$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_n$, are in fact the same.

Answer: a) The derivative is:

$$f'(\lambda) = -\hat{B}e^{-\lambda\hat{B}} \hat{A} e^{\lambda\hat{B}} + e^{-\lambda\hat{B}} \hat{A} \hat{B} e^{\lambda\hat{B}} = -\hat{B}e^{-\lambda\hat{B}} \hat{A} e^{\lambda\hat{B}} + e^{-\lambda\hat{B}} \hat{A} e^{\lambda\hat{B}} \hat{B}$$

Given that I gave you the answer, you can easily show that:

$$-\hat{B}\hat{f}(\lambda) + \hat{f}(\lambda)\hat{B} = [\hat{f}, \hat{B}]$$

b) Next, take the other derivative:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{n!} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_n$$

If that last step confused you, note that in the middle expression that the $n=0$ is 0, so you don't need to include it in the summation. Also we used the fact that: $\frac{n}{n!} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}$.

Last, we can use the fact that we can switch $n'=n-1$ as:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_n = \sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n'}}{n'!} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_{n'+1} = [\hat{f}, \hat{B}] = f'(\lambda)$$

so the proof is complete.